

On products in the coarse shape and strong coarse shape categories

Tayyebe Nasri, Behrooz Mashayekhy*, Hanieh Mirebrahimi

*Department of Pure Mathematics, Center of Excellence in Analysis on Algebraic Structures,
Ferdowsi University of Mashhad,
P.O.Box 1159-91775, Mashhad, Iran.*

Abstract

The paper is devoted to introduce a new category, called strong coarse shape category for topological spaces, $\text{SSh}^*(\text{Top})$, that is coarser than strong shape category $\text{SSh}(\text{Top})$. If the Cartesian product of two spaces X and Y admits a strong HPol^* -expansion (HPol^* -expansion), which is the Cartesian product of strong HPol^* -expansions (HPol^* -expansions) of these spaces, then $X \times Y$ is a product in the strong coarse shape category (coarse shape category). As a consequence, the Cartesian product of two compact Hausdorff spaces is a product in the coarse shape and strong coarse shape categories.

Keywords: Coarse shape category, Shape category, Inverse limit.
2010 MSC: 55P55, 54C56, 54B10, 55Q07.

1. Introduction

F. W. Bauer [1] was the first to define and study strong shape for arbitrary spaces. Recently, N. Ugleic and N. Bilan [3] have extended the shape theory by constructing a coarse shape category, denoted by Sh^* , whose objects are all topological spaces. Its isomorphisms classify topological spaces strictly coarser than the shape does. The shape category Sh can be considered as a subcategory of Sh^* . The shape and coarse shape coincide on the class of spaces having homotopy type of polyhedra.

In this paper, we take inspiration from these two categories and introduce in Section 2 the strong coarse shape category $\text{SSh}^*(\text{Top})$, that is coarser than strong

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: `tnasri72@yahoo.com` (Tayyebe Nasri), `bmashf@um.ac.ir` (Behrooz Mashayekhy), `h_mirebrahimi@um.ac.ir` (Hanieh Mirebrahimi)

shape category $\text{SSh}(\text{Top})$.

Keesling in 1974 [5] proved that if X and Y are compact Hausdorff spaces, then $X \times Y$ is a product in the ordinary shape category. Also, Mardešić in 2004 [6] investigated on the product in the strong shape and showed that if $X \times Y$ admits a strong expansion, then $X \times Y$ is a product in the strong shape category. In particular the Cartesian product of two compact Hausdorff spaces is a product in strong shape. In Section 3, we study the existence of product in the coarse shape and strong coarse shape categories. We prove that if the Cartesian product of two spaces X and Y admits a strong HPol^* -expansion (HPol^* -expansion), which is the Cartesian product of strong HPol^* -expansions (HPol^* -expansions) of these spaces, then $X \times Y$ is a product in the strong coarse shape category (coarse shape category). As a consequence we show that the Cartesian product of two compact Hausdorff spaces is a product in the coarse shape and strong coarse shape categories. Moreover, we show that the shape groups and the coarse shape groups commute with the product.

By the fact that every inverse system is isomorphic to a cofinite inverse system [8, Remark 1.1.5], in this paper every inverse system is assumed to be cofinite inverse system.

2. The strong coarse shape category

In this section we define the strong coarse shape category for topological spaces, denoted by $\text{SSh}^*(\text{Top})$ that is coarser than the strong shape category $\text{SSh}(\text{Top})$. The objects of this category are topological spaces. To define its morphisms similar to strong shape morphisms, one needs the coarse coherent homotopy category CCH which define similar to the coherent homotopy category CH . For the sake of completeness, let us briefly recall the well known notions and main facts concerning the coherent homotopy category and the strong shape category (see [7]).

Let HTop be the homotopy category of topological spaces, then the objects of the coherent homotopy category are inverse systems in HTop . To define its morphisms, for a pre-ordered set M , we consider the sets M_m of all increasing $(m+1)$ -tuples $\bar{\mu} = (\mu_0, \dots, \mu_m)$, $m \geq 0$, where $\mu_i \in M$ and $\mu_0 \leq \dots \leq \mu_m$. We call $\bar{\mu}$ is a *multiindex* of length m . We also define *face operators* $d_m^j : M_m \rightarrow M_{m-1}$, $j = 0, \dots, m$, $m \geq 1$ and *degeneracy operators* $s_m^j : M_m \rightarrow M_{m+1}$, $j = 0, \dots, m$, $m \geq 0$ by putting

$$\begin{aligned} d_m^j(\mu_0, \dots, \mu_m) &= (\mu_0, \dots, \mu_{j-1}, \mu_{j+1}, \dots, \mu_m), \\ s_m^j(\mu_0, \dots, \mu_m) &= (\mu_0, \dots, \mu_j, \mu_j, \mu_{j+1}, \dots, \mu_m). \end{aligned}$$

Also we consider *face operators* $d_j^m : \Delta^{m-1} \rightarrow \Delta^m$, $j = 0, \dots, m$, $m \geq 1$ and *degeneracy operators* $s_j^m : \Delta^{m+1} \rightarrow \Delta^m$, $j = 0, \dots, m$, $m \geq 0$ by

$$d_j^m(t_0, \dots, t_{m-1}) = (t_0, \dots, t_{j-1}, 0, t_j, \dots, t_{m-1}),$$

$$s_j^m(t_0, \dots, t_{m+1}) = (t_0, \dots, t_{j-1}, t_j + t_{j+1}, t_{j+2}, \dots, t_{m+1}).$$

A *coherent mapping* $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{X} = (X_\lambda, p_{\lambda\lambda'}, \Lambda) \rightarrow \mathbf{Y} = (Y_\mu, q_{\mu\mu'}, M)$ is a map which consists of an increasing function $f : M \rightarrow \Lambda$ and of mappings $f_{\bar{\mu}} : X_{f(\mu_m)} \times \Delta^m \rightarrow Y_{\mu_0}$, where $\bar{\mu} = (\mu_0, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m) \in M_m$ such that

$$f_{\bar{\mu}}(x, d_j t) = \begin{cases} q_{\mu_0\mu_1} f_{d^0 \bar{\mu}}(x, t) & j = 0, \\ f_{d^j \bar{\mu}}(x, t) & 0 < j < m, \\ f_{d^m \bar{\mu}}(p_{f(\mu_{m-1})f(\mu_m)}(x), t) & j = m \end{cases}$$

$$f_{\bar{\mu}}(x, s_j t) = f_{s^j \bar{\mu}}(x, t) \quad 0 \leq j \leq m.$$

A *coherent homotopy* from $\mathbf{X}$ to $\mathbf{Y}$ is a coarse coherent mapping $\mathbf{F} = (F, F_{\bar{\mu}}) : \mathbf{X} \times I \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ where $\mathbf{X} \times I = (X_\lambda \times I, p_{\lambda\lambda'} \times 1, \Lambda)$. $\mathbf{F}$ connects coherent mapping $\mathbf{f} = (f, f_{\bar{\mu}})$ to $\mathbf{f}' = (f', f'_{\bar{\mu}})$, denoted by $\mathbf{f} \simeq \mathbf{f}'$, provided $F \geq f, f'$ (i.e. $F(\mu) \geq f(\mu), f'(\mu)$ for all $\mu \in M$) and for all $x \in X_{F(\mu_m)}, t \in \Delta^m$,

$$F_{\bar{\mu}}(x, 0, t) = f_{\bar{\mu}}(p_{f(\mu_m)F(\mu_m)}(x), t)$$

$$F_{\bar{\mu}}(x, 1, t) = f'_{\bar{\mu}}(p_{f'(\mu_m)F(\mu_m)}(x), t).$$

A *morphism* in the coherent homotopy category is the homotopy classes of coherent mapping $\mathbf{f}$ which is denoted by $[\mathbf{f}]$.

In order to define the *composition* $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{g}\mathbf{f} = (h, h_{\bar{\nu}}) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ of coherent mappings $\mathbf{f} = (f, f_{\bar{\mu}}) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ and $\mathbf{g} = (g, g_{\bar{\nu}}) : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} = (Z_\nu, r_{\nu\nu'}, N)$, one decomposes Δ^m into $m + 1$ subpolyhedra $P_i^m = \Delta^i \times \Delta^{m-i}$, $0 \leq i \leq m$ where $t = (t_0, \dots, t_m) \in P_i^m$, provided $\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} t_j \leq \frac{1}{2} \leq \sum_{j=0}^i t_j$.

Also there exist affine homomorphisms $c_i^m : P_i^m \rightarrow \Delta^{m-i} \times \Delta^i$ given by mappings $a_i^m : P_i^m \rightarrow \Delta^{m-i}$ and $b_i^m : P_i^m \rightarrow \Delta^i$ by $a_i^m(t) = (1 - 2(t_{i+1} + \dots + t_m), 2t_{i+1}, \dots, 2t_m)$, $b_i^m(t) = (2t_0, \dots, 2t_{i-1}, 1 - 2(t_0 + \dots + t_{i-1}))$. We now put $h = fg : N \rightarrow \Lambda$ and define $h_{\bar{\nu}} : X_{h(\nu_m)} \times \Delta^m \rightarrow Z_{\nu_0}$ for $\bar{\nu} = (\nu_0, \dots, \nu_m) \in N_m$ and $t \in P_i^m$ by putting

$$h_{\bar{\nu}}(x, t) = g_{\nu_0 \dots \nu_i}(f_{g(\nu_i) \dots g(\nu_m)}(x, a_i^m(t)), b_i^m(t)).$$

The *composition* of homotopy classes of coherent mappings is defined by composing their representatives, $[\mathbf{g}][\mathbf{f}] = [\mathbf{g}\mathbf{f}]$.

Now, we define the coherent functor $\mathcal{C} : \text{pro} - \text{Top} \rightarrow CH$ as follows:
Put $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{X}$ if $\mathbf{f} = (f, f_\mu) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is a morphism in pro-Top , put $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{f}) = [(f, f_{\bar{\mu}})]$ where $f_{\bar{\mu}} : X_{f(\mu_m)} \times \Delta^m \rightarrow Y_{\mu_0}$ is defined by

$$f_{\bar{\mu}}(x, t) = f_{\mu_0} p_{f(\mu_0)f(\mu_m)}(x).$$

Also, we define the forgetful functor $\mathcal{E} : CH \rightarrow \text{pro} - \text{HTop}$. Put $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{X}$ and if $\mathbf{f} = (f, f_{\bar{\mu}}) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is a coherent map, then $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{f})$ to be the homotopy class of the $(f, f_{\mu_0}) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$.

Now, let us to recall some of the main notions concerning the coarse shape category and the $\text{pro}^* - \text{HTop}$ (see [3]). Let $\mathbf{X} = (X_\lambda, p_{\lambda\lambda'}, \Lambda)$ and $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_\mu, q_{\mu\mu'}, M)$ be two inverse systems in HTop . An S^* -morphism of inverse systems, $(f, f_\mu^n) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$, consists of an index function $f : M \rightarrow \Lambda$ and of a set of mappings $f_\mu^n : X_{f(\mu)} \rightarrow Y_\mu$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mu \in M$ such that for every related pair $\mu \leq \mu'$ in M there exists $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $\lambda \geq f(\mu), f(\mu')$, and there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ so that, for every $n' \geq n$,

$$q_{\mu\mu'} f_{\mu'}^{n'} p_{f(\mu')\lambda} \simeq f_\mu^{n'} p_{f(\mu)\lambda}.$$

The *composition* of S^* -morphisms $(f, f_\mu^n) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ and $(g, g_\nu^n) : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} = (Z_\nu, r_{\nu\nu'}, N)$ is a S^* -morphism $(h, h_\nu^n) = (g, g_\nu^n)(f, f_\mu^n) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$, where $h = fg$ and $h_\nu^n = g_\nu^n f_{g(\nu)}^n$. The *identity* S^* -morphism on $\mathbf{X}$ is a S^* -morphism $(1_\Lambda, 1_{X_\lambda}^n) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$, where 1_Λ is the identity function and $1_{X_\lambda}^n = 1_{X_\lambda}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

A S^* -morphism $(f, f_\mu^n) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is said to be *equivalent* to a S^* -morphism $(f', f'_\mu^n) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ denoted by $(f, f_\mu^n) \sim (f', f'_\mu^n)$ provided every $\mu \in M$ admits $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\lambda \geq f(\mu), f'(\mu)$ and for every $n' \geq n$,

$$f_\mu^{n'} p_{f(\mu)\lambda} \simeq f'_{\mu}{}^{n'} p_{f'(\mu)\lambda}.$$

The relation $\sim$ is an equivalence relation. The *category* $\text{pro}^* - \text{HTop}$ has as objects all inverse systems $\mathbf{X}$ in HTop and as morphisms all equivalence classes $\mathbf{f}^* = [(f, f_\mu^n)]$. The composition in $\text{pro}^* - \text{HTop}$ is well defined by putting

$$\mathbf{g}^* \mathbf{f}^* = \mathbf{h}^* = [(h, h_\nu^n)].$$

In particular if (X) and (Y) are two rudimentary inverse systems of HTop , then every set of mappings $f^n : X \rightarrow Y$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, induces a map $\mathbf{f}^* : (X) \rightarrow (Y)$ in $\text{pro}^* - \text{HTop}$.

Let $\mathbf{p} : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{p}' : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X}'$ be two HPol-expansions of the same space X in HTop , and let $\mathbf{q} : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ and $\mathbf{q}' : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}'$ be two HPol-expansions of the same space Y in HTop . Then there exist two natural isomorphisms $\mathbf{i} : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}'$ and

$\mathbf{j} : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}'$ in pro-HTop . Consequently $\mathbf{i}^* = \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{i}) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}'$ and $\mathbf{j}^* = \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{j}) : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}'$ are isomorphisms in $\text{pro}^*\text{-HTop}$ (where $\mathcal{J} : \text{pro-HTop} \rightarrow \text{pro}^*\text{-HTop}$ is a functor that $\mathcal{J}(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{X}$ and $\mathcal{J}([(f, f_\mu)]) = [(f, f_\mu^n)]$, where $f_\mu^n = f_\mu$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$). A morphism $\mathbf{f}^* : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is said to be *equivalent* to a morphism $\mathbf{f}'^* : \mathbf{X}' \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}'$, denoted by $\mathbf{f}^* \sim \mathbf{f}'^*$, provided the following diagram in $\text{pro}^*\text{-HTop}$ commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{X} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{i}^*} & \mathbf{X}' \\ \downarrow \mathbf{f}^* & & \downarrow \mathbf{f}'^* \\ \mathbf{Y} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{j}^*} & \mathbf{Y}', \end{array} \quad (1)$$

Now, the *coarse shape category* Sh^* is defined as follows: The objects of Sh^* are topological spaces. A morphism $F^* : X \rightarrow Y$ is the equivalence class $\langle \mathbf{f}^* \rangle$ of a mapping $\mathbf{f}^* : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ in $\text{pro}^*\text{-HTop}$.

The *composition* of $F^* = \langle \mathbf{f}^* \rangle : X \rightarrow Y$ and $G^* = \langle \mathbf{g}^* \rangle : Y \rightarrow Z$ is defined by the representatives, i.e., $G^*F^* = \langle \mathbf{g}^*\mathbf{f}^* \rangle : X \rightarrow Z$. The *identity coarse shape morphism* on a space X , $1_X^* : X \rightarrow X$, is the equivalence class $\langle 1_{\mathbf{X}}^* \rangle$ of the identity morphism $1_{\mathbf{X}}$ in $\text{pro}^*\text{-HTop}$.

In the following we introduce the coarse coherent mapping.

Definition 2.1. Let $\mathbf{X} = (X_\lambda, p_{\lambda\lambda'}, \Lambda)$ and $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_\mu, q_{\mu\mu'}, M)$ be inverse systems in HTop . A coarse coherent mapping $\mathbf{f}^* = (f, f_\mu^n) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ consists of an increasing function $f : M \rightarrow \Lambda$, called the *index function* and of a set of mappings $f_\mu^n : X_{f(\mu_m)} \times \Delta^m \rightarrow Y_{\mu_0}$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\bar{\mu} = (\mu_0, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m) \in M_m$, such that there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ so that, for every $n' \geq n$,

$$f_\mu^{n'}(x, d_j t) = \begin{cases} q_{\mu_0\mu_1} f_{d^0\bar{\mu}}^{n'}(x, t) & j = 0, \\ f_{d^j\bar{\mu}}^{n'}(x, t) & 0 < j < m, \\ f_{d^m\bar{\mu}}^{n'}(p_{f(\mu_{m-1})f(\mu_m)}(x), t) & j = m \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

$$f_\mu^{n'}(x, s_j t) = f_{s^j\bar{\mu}}^{n'}(x, t) \quad 0 \leq j \leq m.$$

If $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{Y}$ are inverse systems in HTop over the same index set Λ and $f = 1_\Lambda$, then $(1_\Lambda, f_\lambda^n)$ is said to be a *level coarse coherent mapping*.

Lemma 2.2. With the notation and assumptions of the first of this section, let $\mathbf{f}^* = (f, f_\mu^n) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ and $\mathbf{g}^* = (g, g_\nu^n) : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ be coarse coherent mappings of inverse systems. Then $\mathbf{h}^* = (h, h_\nu^n) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$, where $h = fg : N \rightarrow \Lambda$ and $h_\nu^n : X_{h(\nu_m)} \times \Delta^m \rightarrow Z_{\nu_0}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\bar{\nu} \in N_m$ is given by

$$h_\nu^n(x, t) = g_{\nu_0 \dots \nu_i}^n(f_{g(\nu_i) \dots g(\nu_m)}^n(x, a_i^m(t)), b_i^m(t)),$$

is a coarse coherent mapping.

Proof. Taking into account the construction of h_ν^n and coarse coherent properties of f_μ^n and g_ν^n , this lemma is obvious. $\square$

The above lemma enables us to define the *composition* of coarse coherent mappings of inverse systems. If $\mathbf{f}^* = (f, f_\mu^n) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ and $\mathbf{g}^* = (g, g_\nu^n) : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ are two coarse coherent mappings of inverse systems, then $\mathbf{g}^* \mathbf{f}^* = \mathbf{h}^* = (h, h_\nu^n) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$, which is define in Lemma 2.2. This composition is associative.

Definition 2.3. A coarse coherent homotopy from $\mathbf{X}$ to $\mathbf{Y}$ is a coarse coherent mapping $\mathbf{F}^* = (F, F_\mu^n) : \mathbf{X} \times I \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ where $\mathbf{X} \times I = (X_\lambda \times I, p_{\lambda\lambda'} \times 1, \Lambda)$. $\mathbf{F}^*$ connects coarse coherent mappings $\mathbf{f}^* = (f, f_\mu^n)$ and $\mathbf{f}'^* = (f', f'_\mu^n)$, denoted by $\mathbf{f}^* \simeq \mathbf{f}'^*$ provided $F \geq f, f'$ and there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $n' \geq n$ and for all $x \in X_{F(\mu_m)}, t \in \Delta^m$

$$\begin{aligned} F_\mu^{n'}(x, 0, t) &= f_\mu^{n'}(p_{f(\mu_m)F(\mu_m)}(x), t) \\ F_\mu^{n'}(x, 1, t) &= f'_\mu^{n'}(p_{f'(\mu_m)F(\mu_m)}(x), t). \end{aligned}$$

A *morphism* in the coarse coherent homotopy category is the homotopy classes of coarse coherent mapping $\mathbf{f}^*$ which is denoted by $[\mathbf{f}^*]$.

Lemma 2.4. Homotopy of coarse coherent mappings is an equivalence relation.

Proof. Reflexivity and symmetry are obvious. To prove transitivity, assume that $\mathbf{F}'^* = (F', F'_\mu^n)$ connects $\mathbf{f}^*$ to $\mathbf{f}'^*$ and $\mathbf{F}''^* = (F'', F''_\mu^n)$ connects $\mathbf{f}'^*$ to $\mathbf{f}''^*$. Let $F : M \rightarrow \Lambda$ be an increasing function such that $F \geq F', F''$. We define $F_\mu^n : X_{F(\mu_n)} \times I \times \Delta^m \rightarrow Y_{\mu_0}$, $\mu \in M_m$, by

$$F_\mu^n(x, s, t) = \begin{cases} F'_\mu^n(p_{F'(\mu_m)F(\mu_m)}(x), 2s, t) & 0 \leq s \leq 1/2, \\ F''_\mu^n(p_{F''(\mu_m)F(\mu_m)}(x), 2s - 1, t) & 1/2 \leq s \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Then $\mathbf{F}^* = (F, F_\mu^n)$ is a coarse coherent homotopy, which connects $\mathbf{f}^*$ to $\mathbf{f}''^*$. $\square$

The following lemma has a similar argument to the proof of [7, Theorem 2.4].

Lemma 2.5. Let $\mathbf{f}^*, \mathbf{f}'^* : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ and $\mathbf{g}^*, \mathbf{g}'^* : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ be coarse coherent mappings. If $\mathbf{f}^* \simeq \mathbf{f}'^*$ and $\mathbf{g}^* \simeq \mathbf{g}'^*$, then $\mathbf{g}^* \mathbf{f}^* \simeq \mathbf{g}'^* \mathbf{f}'^*$.

By Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5 we can define the *composition* of homotopy classes of coarse coherent mappings by composing their representatives, $[\mathbf{g}^*][\mathbf{f}^*] = [\mathbf{g}^* \mathbf{f}^*]$.

Let us define functors $\mathcal{C}^* : inv - Top \rightarrow CCH$, $\mathcal{C}^* : pro - Top \rightarrow CCH$, $\mathcal{C}^* : pro^* - Top \rightarrow CCH$, called the *coarse coherent functors*. Put $\mathcal{C}^*(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{X}$, for every inverse system $\mathbf{X}$ in Top . If $\mathbf{f}$ is a map, put $\mathcal{C}^*(\mathbf{f}) = [(f, f_{\bar{\mu}}^n)]$, where

$$f_{\bar{\mu}}^n(x, t) = f_{\mu_0}^n p_{f(\mu_0)f(\mu_m)}(x) = f_{\mu_0} p_{f(\mu_0)f(\mu_m)}(x).$$

Therefore if $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is an isomorphism in $pro - Top$, then $\mathcal{C}^*(\mathbf{f})$ is an isomorphism in CCH . Also, we define the forgetful functor $\mathcal{E}^* : CCH \rightarrow pro^* - HTop$ as follows: Put $\mathcal{E}^*(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{X}$, for every inverse system $\mathbf{X}$ in $HTop$. If $[\mathbf{f}^*] = [(f, f_{\bar{\mu}}^n)] \in CCH(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$, put $\mathcal{E}^*([\mathbf{f}^*]) = [(f, f_{\mu_0}^n)]$.

Also, we define the functor $\mathcal{J}^* : CH \rightarrow CCH$ by $\mathcal{J}^*(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{X}$, for every inverse system $\mathbf{X}$ in $HTop$. If $[\mathbf{f}] = [(f, f_{\bar{\mu}})] \in CH(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$, put $\mathcal{J}^*([\mathbf{f}]) = [\mathbf{f}^*] = [(f, f_{\bar{\mu}}^n)] \in CCH(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$, where for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f_{\bar{\mu}}^n = f_{\bar{\mu}}$ for all $\bar{\mu} \in M_m$.

Now we are ready to introduce the *strong coarse shape category*. First, we Recall that an equivalent definition of a strong HPol-expansion of X . A map $\mathbf{p} : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ is a strong HPol-expansion of X provided, for any inverse system $\mathbf{Y}$ in HPol and any morphism $[\mathbf{h}] : X \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ of CH, there exists a unique morphism $[\mathbf{f}] : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ of CH such that $[\mathbf{h}] = [\mathbf{f}]\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{p})$ (see [7]). Now, let $\mathbf{p} : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{p}' : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X}'$ be two cofinite strong HPol-expansions of the same space X in $HTop$, and let $\mathbf{q} : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ and $\mathbf{q}' : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}'$ be two cofinite strong HPol-expansions of the same space Y in $HTop$. We know that the maps $[\mathbf{i}] : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}'$ and $[\mathbf{j}] : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}'$ are isomorphisms in CH, consequently $[\mathbf{i}^*] = \mathcal{J}^*([\mathbf{i}]) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}'$ and $[\mathbf{j}^*] = \mathcal{J}^*([\mathbf{j}]) : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}'$ are isomorphisms in CCH. A morphism $[\mathbf{f}^*] : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is said to be *equivalent* to a morphism $[\mathbf{f}'^*] : \mathbf{X}' \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}'$, denoted by $[\mathbf{f}^*] \sim [\mathbf{f}'^*]$, provided the following diagram in CCH commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{X} & \xrightarrow{[\mathbf{i}^*]} & \mathbf{X}' \\ \downarrow [\mathbf{f}^*] & & \downarrow [\mathbf{f}'^*] \\ \mathbf{Y} & \xrightarrow{[\mathbf{j}^*]} & \mathbf{Y}', \end{array} \quad (3)$$

Now, we define the strong coarse shape category SSh^* as follows: The objects of SSh^* are topological spaces. A morphism $F^* : X \rightarrow Y$ is the equivalence class $< [\mathbf{f}^*] >$ of a coarse coherent mapping $[\mathbf{f}^*] : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ with respect to any choice of a pair of strong HPol-expansions $\mathbf{p} : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{q} : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$. In other words, a *strong coarse shape morphism* $F^* : X \rightarrow Y$ is given by a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{X} & \xleftarrow{\mathbf{p}} & X \\ \downarrow [\mathbf{f}^*] & & \\ \mathbf{Y} & \xleftarrow{\mathbf{q}} & Y. \end{array} \quad (4)$$

The *composition* of $F^* = \langle [\mathbf{f}^*] \rangle : X \rightarrow Y$ and $G^* = \langle [\mathbf{g}^*] \rangle : Y \rightarrow Z$ is defined by the representatives, i.e., $G^*F^* = \langle [\mathbf{g}^*\mathbf{f}^*] \rangle : X \rightarrow Z$. The *identity strong coarse shape morphism* on a space X , $1_X^* : X \rightarrow X$, is the equivalence class $\langle [1_{\mathbf{X}}^*] \rangle$ of the identity morphism $[1_{\mathbf{X}}^*]$ in CCH.

We say that topological spaces X and Y have the same coarse strong shape type, denoted by $SSh^*(X) = SSh^*(Y)$, provided there exists an isomorphism $F^* : X \rightarrow Y$ in SSh^* . If there exist strong coarse shape morphisms $F^* : X \rightarrow Y$ and $G^* : Y \rightarrow X$ such that $G^*F^* = 1_X^*$, then we say that the coarse strong shape of X is dominated by the coarse strong shape of Y , and we write $SSh^*(X) \leq SSh^*(Y)$.

Definition 2.6. Let X be a topological space. A strong $H\text{Pol}^*$ -expansion of X is a morphism $\mathbf{p}^* : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$, where $\mathbf{X}$ is an inverse system in $H\text{Pol}$ with the following property:

For any inverse system $\mathbf{Y}$ in $H\text{Pol}$ and any morphism $[\mathbf{h}^*] : X \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ of CCH, there exists a unique morphism $[\mathbf{f}^*] : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ of CCH such that $[\mathbf{h}^*] = [\mathbf{f}^*]\mathcal{C}^*(\mathbf{p}^*)$.

Remark 2.7. For every map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in Top and every pair of cofinite strong $H\text{Pol}^*$ -expansions $\mathbf{p}^* : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{q}^* : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$, there exists $[\mathbf{f}^*] : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ in CCH, such that the following diagram in CCH commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{X} & \xleftarrow{\mathcal{C}^*(\mathbf{p}^*)} & X \\ \downarrow [\mathbf{f}^*] & & \downarrow f \\ \mathbf{Y} & \xleftarrow{\mathcal{C}^*(\mathbf{q}^*)} & Y. \end{array} \quad (5)$$

Thus every morphism $f \in H\text{Top}(X, Y)$ yields an equivalence class $\langle [\mathbf{f}^*] \rangle$ i.e. a strong coarse shape morphism $F^* : X \rightarrow Y$. If we define $\bar{\mathcal{S}}^*(X) = X$ for every topological space X and $\bar{\mathcal{S}}^*(f) = F^* = \langle [\mathbf{f}^*] \rangle$, for every map $f : X \rightarrow Y$, then $\bar{\mathcal{S}}^* : H\text{Top} \rightarrow SSh^*$ becomes a functor, called the strong coarse shape functor. Also, we can define the functor $\bar{\mathcal{S}}^* : H\text{Top} \rightarrow SSh^*$ by $\bar{\mathcal{S}}^* = \bar{\mathcal{J}}^* \circ \bar{\mathcal{S}}$ where $\bar{\mathcal{S}} : H\text{Top} \rightarrow SSh$ is defined in [7] and $\bar{\mathcal{J}}^* : SSh \rightarrow SSh^*$ is induced by the functor $\mathcal{J}^*$ defined in this section.

Therefore if X and Y have the same homotopy type, then they have the same strong coarse shape type. Also, we have $SSh(X) = SSh(Y)$ implies that $SSh^*(X) = SSh^*(Y)$.

Now, we define the functor $\bar{\mathcal{E}}^* : SSh^* \rightarrow Sh^*$ as follows: Put $\bar{\mathcal{E}}^*(X) = X$. If $F^* = \langle [\mathbf{f}^*] \rangle : X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism in SSh^* , put $\bar{\mathcal{E}}^*(F^*) = \langle \mathcal{E}^*[\mathbf{f}^*] \rangle$. This functor implies that if $SSh^*(X) = SSh^*(Y)$, then $Sh^*(X) = Sh^*(Y)$ for topological

spaces X and Y . Therefore the position of strong coarse shape theory is between strong shape and coarse shape. From this fact and results in [2] we have the following theorems.

Theorem 2.8. *Let X and Y be topological spaces and let $SSh^*(X) \leq SSh^*(Y)$. Then the following assertions hold:*

- (i) *If X is connected, then so is Y .*
- (ii) *If the shape dimension $sd(X) \leq n$, then also $sd(Y) \leq n$.*
- (iii) *If X is movable, then so is Y .*
- (iv) *If X is n -movable, then so is Y .*
- (v) *If X is strongly movable, then so is Y .*

Proof. Since $SSh^*(X) \leq SSh^*(Y)$ implies that $Sh^*(X) \leq Sh^*(Y)$, the results hold by [2, Theorem 3]. $\square$

Theorem 2.9. *Let X and Y be topological spaces and let X be stable. If X and Y have the same strong coarse shape type, then Y is also stable.*

Proof. Since $SSh^*(X) = SSh^*(Y)$, so $Sh^*(X) = Sh^*(Y)$. But X is stable, thus Y is stable by [2, Theorem 4]. $\square$

Theorem 2.10. *Let X be a topological space and let P be a polyhedra. Then $SSh^*(X) = SSh^*(P)$ if and only if $SSh(X) = SSh(P)$.*

Proof. Let $SSh^*(X) = SSh^*(P)$, then $Sh^*(X) = Sh^*(P)$. By [2, Theorem 5], $Sh(X) = Sh(P)$ and so $SSh(X) = SSh(P)$ by [7, Theorem 9.19]. $\square$

The following corollary is a consequence of Theorem 2.10.

Corollary 2.11. *Let X or Y be a stable space. Then X and Y have the same strong shape type if and only if they have the same strong coarse shape type.*

The following example shows that, in general, the induced function $\bar{\mathcal{E}}^*|_{\cdot} : SSh^*(X, Y) \rightarrow Sh^*(X, Y)$ is not injective and the induced function $\bar{\mathcal{S}}^*|_{\cdot} : HTop(X, Y) \rightarrow SSh^*(X, Y)$ is not surjective.

Example 2.12. (i) *Let $X = \{*\}$ and Y be the dyadic solenoid. As in [4, Example 17.7.2], one can shows that*

$$card(Sh^*(X, Y)) = 1$$

while

$$card(SSh^*(X, Y)) = \mathfrak{c},$$

where $\mathfrak{c}$ is the cardinal number of real numbers $\mathbb{R}$. Consequently, the induced function $\bar{\mathcal{E}}^*|_{\cdot} : SSh^*(X, Y) \rightarrow Sh^*(X, Y)$ can not be injective.

(ii) Let $X = \{*\}$ and $Y = \{*\} \sqcup \{*\}$. By a similar argument to [3, Example 7.4], we can show that

$$\text{card}(HTop(X, Y)) = 2$$

while

$$\text{card}(SSh^*(X, Y)) = \mathfrak{c},$$

where $\mathfrak{c}$ is the cardinal number of real numbers $\mathbb{R}$. Consequently, the induced function $\bar{\mathcal{S}}^*|_{\cdot} : HTop(X, Y) \rightarrow SSh^*(X, Y)$ can not be surjective.

3. Products in strong coarse shape and coarse shape

As it is shown by Keesling in 1974 [5] the ordinary shape category doesn't have the product, in general. He also proved that if X and Y are compact Hausdorff spaces, then $X \times Y$ is a product in the shape category. Also, Mardešić in 2004 [6] showed that $X \times Y$ is a product in the strong shape category when X and Y are compact Hausdorff spaces. In this section, we intend to study the existence of products in the coarse shape and strong coarse shape categories. We define an $H\text{Pol}^*$ -expansion for a topological space X and show that if the Cartesian product of two spaces X and Y admits an $H\text{Pol}^*$ -expansion (strong $H\text{Pol}^*$ -expansion), which is the Cartesian product of $H\text{Pol}^*$ -expansions (strong $H\text{Pol}^*$ -expansions) of these spaces, then $X \times Y$ is a product in the coarse shape category (strong coarse shape category). In particular, the Cartesian product of two compact Hausdorff spaces is a product in these categories. Finally, we show that the k -th shape groups and the k -th coarse shape groups commute with the product for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 3.1. Let X be a topological space. An $H\text{Pol}^*$ -expansion of X is a morphism $\mathbf{p}^* : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ in $\text{pro}^*\text{-}H\text{Top}$, where $\mathbf{X}$ is an inverse system in $H\text{Pol}$ with the following property:

For any inverse system $\mathbf{Y}$ in $H\text{Pol}$ and any morphism $\mathbf{h}^* : X \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ in $\text{pro}^*\text{-}H\text{Top}$, there exists a unique morphism $\mathbf{f}^* : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ in $\text{pro}^*\text{-}H\text{Top}$ such that $\mathbf{h}^* = \mathbf{f}^* \mathbf{p}^*$.

Remark 3.2. Let $\mathbf{p}^* : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{q}^* : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be $H\text{Pol}^*$ -expansions of X and Y respectively, for every morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in $H\text{Top}$, there is a unique morphism $\mathbf{f}^* : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ in $\text{pro}^*\text{-}H\text{Top}$ such that the following diagram commutes in $\text{pro}^*\text{-}H\text{Top}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{X} & \xleftarrow{\quad \mathbf{p}^* \quad} & X \\ \downarrow \mathbf{f}^* & & \downarrow f \\ \mathbf{Y} & \xleftarrow{\quad \mathbf{q}^* \quad} & Y. \end{array} \tag{6}$$

If we take other $HPol^*$ -expansions $\mathbf{p}'^* : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X}'$ and $\mathbf{q}'^* : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}'$, we obtain another morphism $\mathbf{f}'^* : \mathbf{X}' \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}'$ in pro^*HTop such that $\mathbf{f}'^*\mathbf{p}'^* = \mathbf{q}'^*f$ and we have $\mathbf{f}^* \sim \mathbf{f}'^*$. Hence every morphism $f \in HTop(X, Y)$ yields an equivalence class $<[\mathbf{f}^*]>$ i.e. a coarse shape morphism $F^* : X \rightarrow Y$, we denoted by $\mathcal{S}^*(f)$. If we put $\mathcal{S}^*(X) = X$ for every topological space X , we obtain a functor $\mathcal{S}^* : HTop \rightarrow Sh^*$, called the coarse shape functor.

Now, we intend to prove that $X \times Y$ is a product in the coarse shape category with some conditions. First consider some notations.

Let $\mathbf{p}^* : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X} = (X_\lambda, p_{\lambda\lambda'}, \Lambda)$ and $\mathbf{q}^* : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{Y} = (Y_\mu, q_{\mu\mu'}, M)$ be mappings of pro^*HTop . Then $\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Y} = (X_\lambda \times Y_\mu, p_{\lambda\lambda'} \times q_{\mu\mu'}, \Lambda \times M)$ is an inverse system and set of the mappings $p_\lambda^n \times q_\mu^n : X \times Y \rightarrow X_\lambda \times Y_\mu$ form a mapping $\mathbf{p}^* \times \mathbf{q}^* : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Y}$ of pro^*HTop .

To define the canonical projection $\pi_{\mathbf{X}}^*$ fix an index $\mu \in M$. Let ${}^\mu\pi_{\mathbf{X}}^* : \mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ be the mapping which consists of $f^\mu : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda \times M$ by $f^\mu(\lambda) = (\lambda, \mu)$ and set of mappings of projections ${}^\mu\pi_{X_\lambda}^n : X_\lambda \times Y_\mu \rightarrow X_\lambda$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$. If μ' is another index from M , then ${}^\mu\pi_{\mathbf{X}}^*$ and ${}^{\mu'}\pi_{\mathbf{X}}^*$ are congruent mappings. Hence they induce the same morphism of pro^*HTop which is denoted by $\pi_{\mathbf{X}}^*$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{Y}$ be inverse systems of spaces and (Z) be a rudimentary system. Let $\mathbf{f}^* : (Z) \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{g}^* : (Z) \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be morphisms of pro^*HTop , then there exists a unique morphism $\mathbf{h}^* : (Z) \rightarrow \mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Y}$ such that $\pi_{\mathbf{X}}^*\mathbf{h}^* = \mathbf{f}^*$ and $\pi_{\mathbf{Y}}^*\mathbf{h}^* = \mathbf{g}^*$.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{f}^*$ and $\mathbf{g}^*$ be given by mappings f_λ^n and g_μ^n . To prove existence of $\mathbf{h}^*$, consider the mapping $\mathbf{h}^* = \mathbf{f}^* \times \mathbf{g}^* : (Z) \rightarrow \mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Y}$ of pro^*HTop , given by mappings $h_{\mu\lambda}^n = f_\lambda^n \times g_\mu^n : Z \rightarrow X_\lambda \times Y_\mu$, $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Lambda \times M$. Note that, for $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Lambda \times M$, one has ${}^\mu\pi_{X_\lambda}^n(h_{\mu\lambda}^n) = f_\lambda^n$ and hence $\pi_{\mathbf{X}}^*\mathbf{h}^* = \mathbf{f}^*$. Analogously, $\pi_{\mathbf{Y}}^*\mathbf{h}^* = \mathbf{g}^*$.

To prove uniqueness, assume that we have a morphism $\mathbf{h}^* : (Z) \rightarrow \mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Y}$ such that $\pi_{\mathbf{X}}^*\mathbf{h}^* = \mathbf{f}^*$ and $\pi_{\mathbf{Y}}^*\mathbf{h}^* = \mathbf{g}^*$. Let $\mathbf{h}^*$ is given by mappings $h_\nu^n : Z \rightarrow X_\lambda \times Y_\mu$, where $\nu = (\lambda, \mu)$. Note that h_ν^n must be of the form $h_\nu^n = h_\nu'^n \times h_\nu''^n$, where $h_\nu'^n : Z \rightarrow X_\lambda$ and $h_\nu''^n : Z \rightarrow Y_\mu$. Since ${}^\mu\pi_{X_\lambda}^n h_\nu^n = f_\lambda^n$, we see that the equality $\pi_{\mathbf{X}}^*\mathbf{h}^* = \mathbf{f}^*$ implies that $h_\nu'^n \sim f_\lambda^n$. Analogously, $h_\nu''^n \sim g_\mu^n$. However, this implies that $h_\nu^n = h_\nu'^n \times h_\nu''^n \sim f_\lambda^n \times g_\mu^n$ and hence $\mathbf{h}^* = \mathbf{f}^* \times \mathbf{g}^*$. $\square$

Theorem 3.4. *If X and Y admit $HPol^*$ -expansions $\mathbf{p}^* : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{q}^* : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ respectively such that $\mathbf{p}^* \times \mathbf{q}^* : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Y}$ is an $HPol^*$ -expansion, then $X \times Y$ is a product in the coarse shape category.*

Proof. Let $\pi_X : X \times Y \rightarrow X$ and $\pi_Y : X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ denote the canonical projections. We are going to show that $X \times Y$ with maps $\mathcal{S}^*(\pi_X)$ and $\mathcal{S}^*(\pi_Y)$ is a product in

Sh*. Let Z be a topological space and let $F^* : Z \rightarrow X$ and $G^* : Z \rightarrow Y$ be coarse shape morphisms. We must prove that there exists a unique coarse shape morphism $H^* : Z \rightarrow X \times Y$ such that $\mathcal{S}^*(\pi_X)H^* = F^*$ and $\mathcal{S}^*(\pi_Y)H^* = G^*$.

We will first prove uniqueness of H^* . Assume that $H^* : Z \rightarrow X \times Y$ has the desired properties. Consider the HPol*-expansion $\mathbf{p}^* \times \mathbf{q}^* : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Y}$ and let $\mathbf{h}^* : (Z) \rightarrow \mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Y}$ be the morphism of pro*-HTop associated with H^* . Similarly, consider the HPol*-expansions $\mathbf{p}^* : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{q}^* : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ and let $\mathbf{f}^* : (Z) \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{g}^* : (Z) \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be morphisms of pro*-HTop associated with F^* and G^* , respectively. Note that the canonical projection ${}^\mu\pi_{X_\lambda}^n : X_\lambda \times Y_\mu \rightarrow X_\lambda$ satisfies the equality ${}^\mu\pi_{X_\lambda}^n(p_\lambda^n \times q_\mu^n) = p_\lambda^n \pi_{X_\lambda}^n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore $\pi_{\mathbf{X}}^*(\mathbf{p}^* \times \mathbf{q}^*) = \mathbf{p}^* \pi_X$ i.e. the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Y} & \xleftarrow{\mathbf{p}^* \times \mathbf{q}^*} & X \times Y \\ \downarrow \pi_{\mathbf{X}}^* & & \downarrow \pi_X \\ \mathbf{X} & \xleftarrow{\mathbf{p}^*} & X. \end{array} \quad (7)$$

It follows by Remark 3.2 that $\mathcal{S}^*(\pi_X) = \langle \pi_{\mathbf{X}}^* \rangle$ i.e. the morphism $\pi_{\mathbf{X}}^*$ is associated with the shape morphism $\mathcal{S}^*(\pi_X)$ and so the morphism $\pi_{\mathbf{X}}^* \mathbf{h}^*$ is associated with the shape morphism $\mathcal{S}^*(\pi_X)H^* = F^*$. However, we already know that $\mathbf{f}^*$ is associated with F^* . Consequently, $\pi_{\mathbf{X}}^* \mathbf{h}^* = \mathbf{f}^*$.

An analogous argument shows that $\pi_{\mathbf{Y}}^* \mathbf{h}^* = \mathbf{g}^*$. We now apply Lemma 3.3 and conclude that $\mathbf{h}^*$ is unique. However, this implies that also H^* is unique, because it is associated with $\mathbf{h}^*$.

To prove existence of H^* , choose $\mathbf{f}^*$ and $\mathbf{g}^*$ as above and put $\mathbf{h}^* = \mathbf{f}^* \times \mathbf{g}^*$. Then define $H^* : Z \rightarrow X \times Y$ as the coarse shape morphism associated with $\mathbf{h}^*$. Arguing as before, $\pi_{\mathbf{X}}^* \mathbf{h}^*$ is associated with $\mathcal{S}^*(\pi_X)H^*$. By Lemma 3.3, $\pi_{\mathbf{X}}^* \mathbf{h}^* = \mathbf{f}^*$. Consequently, $\mathcal{S}^*(\pi_X)H^*$ is associated with $\mathbf{f}^*$. Since also F^* is associated with $\mathbf{f}^*$, we conclude that $\mathcal{S}^*(\pi_X)H^* = F^*$. Analogously, $\mathcal{S}^*(\pi_Y)H^* = G^*$. $\square$

Now, we want to see that if $\mathbf{p}^*$ and $\mathbf{q}^*$ are HPol*-expansions, then in which conditions $\mathbf{p}^* \times \mathbf{q}^* : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Y}$ is an HPol*-expansion. For this end we prove some general results on expansions of products.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $\mathbf{p}^* : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ be an HPol*-expansion, if Y is a compact Hausdorff, then $\mathbf{p}^* \times 1 : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbf{X} \times Y$ is an HPol*-expansion.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{P} = (P_\nu, r_{\nu\nu'}, N)$ be an inverse system in HPol and let $\mathbf{h}^* : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$ given by (h, h_ν^n) be a morphism of pro*-HTop. We consider the map $\tilde{\mathbf{h}}^* : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^Y$

given by $(h, \tilde{h}_\nu^n)$, where $\tilde{h}_\nu^n : X \rightarrow P_\nu^Y$ is given by $\tilde{h}_\nu^n(x)(y) = h_\nu^n(x, y)$ for every $(x, y) \in X \times Y$. Since P_ν is a polyhedra for every $\nu \in N$ and Y is a compact Hausdorff, P_ν^Y is a polyhedra by [8, Theorem 1]. Thus $\mathbf{P}^Y$ is an inverse system in $\mathbf{HPol}$. Since $\mathbf{p}^* : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ is an $\mathbf{HPol}^*$ -expansion, there exists a map $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}^* : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^Y$ of $\text{pro}^*\text{-HTop}$ such that $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}^* \mathbf{p}^* = \tilde{\mathbf{h}}^*$. Let $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}^*$ be given by $(f, \tilde{f}_\nu^n)$, then $\mathbf{f}^* : \mathbf{X} \times Y \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$ induced by $(f, \tilde{f}_\nu^n)$ which $f : N \rightarrow \Lambda$ and $\tilde{f}_\nu^n : X_{f(\nu)} \times Y \rightarrow P_\nu$ is a morphism of $\text{pro}^*\text{-HTop}$ such that $\mathbf{f}^*(\mathbf{p}^* \times 1) = \tilde{\mathbf{h}}^*$. Therefore $\mathbf{p}^* \times 1 : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbf{X} \times Y$ is an $\mathbf{HPol}^*$ -expansion. $\square$

Note that the morphism $\mathbf{p}^* : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ is given by a set of mappings $p_\lambda^n : X \rightarrow X_\lambda$, for $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $p_{\lambda\lambda'} p_{\lambda'}^{k'} = p_\lambda^{k'}$ for every $k' \geq k$. The following theorem is an equivalent definition of an $\mathbf{HPol}^*$ -expansion.

Theorem 3.6. *Let X be a topological space. A morphism $\mathbf{p}^* : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ is an $\mathbf{HPol}^*$ -expansion of X if and only if for every polyhedron P it has the following properties:*

- (C1) *For every set of mappings $h^n : X \rightarrow P$, there is $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and a set of mappings $f^k : X_\lambda \rightarrow P$ such that $f^{m'} p_\lambda^{m'} \simeq h^{m'}$ for every $m' \geq m$.*
- (C2) *Whenever for a $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and for two sets of mappings $f_0^n, f_1^n : X_\lambda \rightarrow P$ there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ which the mappings $f_0^{k'} p_\lambda^{k'}$ and $f_1^{k'} p_\lambda^{k'}$ are homotopic for every $k' \geq k$, then there exists $\lambda' \geq \lambda$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the mappings $f_0^{m'} p_{\lambda\lambda'}^{m'}$ and $f_1^{m'} p_{\lambda\lambda'}^{m'}$ are homotopic for every $m' \geq m$.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{p}^* : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ be an $\mathbf{HPol}^*$ -expansion of X and P be a polyhedron. We must verify conditions (C1) and (C2). Let $h^n : X \rightarrow P$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be a set of mappings. This maps induce a map $\mathbf{h}^* : (X) \rightarrow (P)$ of $\text{pro}^*\text{-HTop}$. Since $\mathbf{p}^*$ is an $\mathbf{HPol}^*$ -expansion, there exists a unique morphism $\mathbf{f}^* : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow (P)$ of $\text{pro}^*\text{-HTop}$ such that $\mathbf{h}^* = \mathbf{f}^* \mathbf{p}^*$. So there exists $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f^{m'} p_\lambda^{m'} \simeq h^{m'}$ for every $m' \geq m$. To verify the property (C2), let $f_0^n, f_1^n : X_\lambda \rightarrow (P)$ be two sets of mappings and let there is $k \in \mathbb{N}$ which the mappings $f_0^{k'} p_\lambda^{k'}$ and $f_1^{k'} p_\lambda^{k'}$ are homotopic for every $k' \geq k$. This maps induce two maps $\mathbf{f}_0^*, \mathbf{f}_1^* : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow (P)$ of $\text{pro}^*\text{-HTop}$ such that $\mathbf{f}_0^* \mathbf{p}^* = \mathbf{f}_1^* \mathbf{p}^*$. By uniqueness it follows that $\mathbf{f}_0^* = \mathbf{f}_1^*$ i.e. there exist $\lambda' \geq \lambda$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f_0^{m'} p_{\lambda\lambda'}^{m'} \simeq f_1^{m'} p_{\lambda\lambda'}^{m'}$ for every $m' \geq m$.

Conversely, let $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_\mu, q_{\mu\mu'}, M)$ be an inverse system in $\mathbf{HPol}$ and $\mathbf{h}^* : X \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a morphism of $\text{pro}^*\text{-HTop}$ determined by $h_\mu^n : X \rightarrow Y_\mu$. By the property (C1) there is $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $m_\mu \in \mathbb{N}$ and a set of mappings $f_\mu^k : X_\lambda \rightarrow Y_\mu$ such that $f_\mu^{m'} p_\lambda^{m'} \simeq h_\mu^{m'}$ for every $m' \geq m_\mu$. We define $f : M \rightarrow \Lambda$ by $f(\mu) = \lambda$ and claim that the morphisms $f_\mu^k : X_{f(\mu)} \rightarrow Y_\mu$ determine a morphism $\mathbf{f}^* : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$.

To proof the claim, for $\mu' \geq \mu$ we have, $f_{\mu'}^{m'} p_{f(\mu')}^{m'} \simeq h_{\mu'}^{m'}$ for every $m' \geq m_{\mu'}$. Put $m_0 = \max\{m_\mu, m_{\mu'}\}$. Then for every $m' \geq m_0$ we have

$$q_{\mu\mu'} f_{\mu'}^{m'} p_{f(\mu')}^{m'} \simeq q_{\mu\mu'} h_{\mu'}^{m'} \simeq h_{\mu}^{m'} \simeq f_{\mu}^{m'} p_{f(\mu)}^{m'}.$$

Let $\lambda \geq f(\mu), f(\mu')$, then there is $m_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $m' \geq m_1$, $p_{f(\mu')\lambda} p_{\lambda}^{m'} \simeq p_{f(\mu')}^{m'}$ and there is $m_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $m' \geq m_2$, $p_{f(\mu)\lambda} p_{\lambda}^{m'} \simeq p_{f(\mu)}^{m'}$. Now, if put $m = \max\{m_0, m_1, m_2\}$, then for every $m' \geq m$ we have

$$q_{\mu\mu'} f_{\mu'}^{m'} p_{f(\mu')\lambda} p_{\lambda}^{m'} \simeq f_{\mu}^{m'} p_{f(\mu)\lambda} p_{\lambda}^{m'}.$$

By property (C2), there exist $\lambda' \geq \lambda$ and $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the mappings $q_{\mu\mu'} f_{\mu'}^{k'} p_{f(\mu')\lambda'}$ and $f_{\mu}^{k'} p_{f(\mu)\lambda'}$ are homotopic for every $k' \geq k_0$. $\square$

Theorem 3.7. *Let $1 \times \mathbf{q}^* : X \times Y \rightarrow X \times \mathbf{Y}$ and $\mathbf{p}^* \times 1 : X \times Y_{\mu} \rightarrow \mathbf{X} \times Y_{\mu}$ be HPol^* -expansions for every $\mu \in M$. Then $\mathbf{p}^* \times \mathbf{q}^* : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Y}$ is an HPol^* -expansion.*

Proof. We must verify conditions (C1) and (C2) for $\mathbf{p}^* \times \mathbf{q}^*$. Let P be a polyhedra and let $h^n : X \times Y \rightarrow P$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be a set of mappings. By property (C1) for $1 \times \mathbf{q}^* : X \times Y \rightarrow X \times \mathbf{Y}$, there exist $\mu_0 \in M$, $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and a set of mappings $g^k : X \times Y_{\mu_0} \rightarrow P$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $g^{m'_0}(1 \times q_{\mu}^{m'_0}) \simeq h^{m'_0}$ for every $m'_0 \geq m_0$. By property (C1) for $\mathbf{p}^* \times 1 : X \times Y_{\mu_0} \rightarrow \mathbf{X} \times Y_{\mu_0}$, there exist $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$, $m_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and a set of mappings $f^k : X_{\lambda_0} \times Y_{\mu_0} \rightarrow P$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $f^{m'_1}(p_{\lambda_0}^{m'_1} \times 1) \simeq g^{m'_1}$ for every $m'_1 \geq m_1$. Put $m = \max\{m_0, m_1\}$. It follows that for every $m' \geq m$, $f^{m'}(p_{\lambda_0}^{m'} \times q_{\mu_0}^{m'}) = f^{m'}(p_{\lambda_0}^{m'} \times 1)(1 \times q_{\mu_0}^{m'}) \simeq g^{m'}(1 \times q_{\mu_0}^{m'}) \simeq h^{m'}$. Therefore $f^{m'}(p_{\lambda_0}^{m'} \times q_{\mu_0}^{m'}) \simeq h^{m'}$. This establishes property (C1).

To establish the property (C2), consider $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $\mu \in M$ and let $f_0^k, f_1^k : X_{\lambda} \times Y_{\mu} \rightarrow P$ be two sets of morphisms such that there exists $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ that $f_0^k(p_{\lambda}^k \times q_{\mu}^k) \simeq f_1^k(p_{\lambda}^k \times q_{\mu}^k)$ for every $k \geq k_1$. Consider the mapping $g_i^n = f_i^n(p_{\lambda}^n \times 1) : X \times Y_{\mu} \rightarrow P$, $i = 0, 1$. Note that $g_i^n(1 \times q_{\mu}^n) = f_i^n(p_{\lambda}^n \times q_{\mu}^n) : X \times Y \rightarrow P$ and thus $g_0^k(1 \times q_{\mu}^k)$ is homotopic to $g_1^k(1 \times q_{\mu}^k)$ for every $k \geq k_1$. Since $1 \times \mathbf{q}^* : X \times Y \rightarrow X \times \mathbf{Y}$ is an HPol^* -expansion, property (C2) for $1 \times \mathbf{q}^*$ yields $\mu' \geq \mu$ and $m_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $g_0^{m'}(1 \times q_{\mu\mu'}^{m'}) \simeq g_1^{m'}(1 \times q_{\mu\mu'}^{m'})$ for every $m' \geq m_1$ i.e. $f_0^{m'}(p_{\lambda}^{m'} \times q_{\mu\mu'}^{m'}) \simeq f_1^{m'}(p_{\lambda}^{m'} \times q_{\mu\mu'}^{m'})$. Note that $p_{\lambda}^n \times q_{\mu\mu'}^n = (1 \times q_{\mu\mu'}^n)(p_{\lambda}^n \times 1)$. Then putting $k_i^n = f_i^n(1 \times q_{\mu\mu'}^n) : X_{\lambda} \times Y_{\mu} \rightarrow P$, $i = 0, 1$, we have $k_0^{m'}(p_{\lambda}^{m'} \times 1) \simeq k_1^{m'}(p_{\lambda}^{m'} \times 1)$ for every $m' \geq m_1$. Now, property (C2) for $\mathbf{p}^* \times 1 : X \times Y_{\mu'} \rightarrow \mathbf{X} \times Y_{\mu'}$ yields an index $\lambda' \geq \lambda$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k_0^{m'}(p_{\lambda\lambda'}^{m'} \times 1) \simeq k_1^{m'}(p_{\lambda\lambda'}^{m'} \times 1)$, for every $m' \geq m$. But $k_i^n(p_{\lambda\lambda'}^n \times 1) = f_i^n(p_{\lambda\lambda'}^n \times q_{\mu\mu'}^n)$ and we obtain $f_0^{m'}(p_{\lambda\lambda'}^{m'} \times q_{\mu\mu'}^{m'})$ and $f_1^{m'}(p_{\lambda\lambda'}^{m'} \times q_{\mu\mu'}^{m'})$ are homotopic, for every $m' \geq m$. $\square$

The following result is a consequence of Theorems 3.4, 3.5 and 3.7.

Corollary 3.8. *If X and Y are compact Hausdorff spaces. Then $X \times Y$ is a product in the coarse shape category Sh^* .*

In the rest of the paper we intend to obtain the same results as above results in the strong coarse shape category. We will show that $X \times Y$ is a product in the strong coarse shape category with some conditions.

Lemma 3.9. *Let $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{Y}$ be inverse systems of spaces and (Z) be a rudimentary system. Let $[\mathbf{f}^*] : (Z) \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ and $[\mathbf{g}^*] : (Z) \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be morphisms of CCH, then there exists a unique morphism $[\mathbf{h}^*] : (Z) \rightarrow \mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Y}$ such that $\mathcal{C}^*(\pi_{\mathbf{X}}^*)[\mathbf{h}^*] = [\mathbf{f}^*]$ and $\mathcal{C}^*(\pi_{\mathbf{Y}}^*)[\mathbf{h}^*] = [\mathbf{g}^*]$.*

Proof. First note that there is no loss of generality if we assume that the indexing sets Λ and M have initial elements λ_* and μ_* , respectively [6, Corollary 2].

Let $\mathbf{f}^* : (Z) \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{g}^* : (Z) \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be coarse coherent mappings given by $f_{\bar{\lambda}}^n : Z \times \Delta^m \rightarrow X_{\lambda_0}$, $\bar{\lambda} \in \Lambda_m$ and $g_{\bar{\mu}}^n : Z \times \Delta^m \rightarrow Y_{\mu_0}$, $\bar{\mu} \in M_m$, respectively. They determine a mapping $\mathbf{h}^* : (Z) \rightarrow \mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Y}$ given by the mappings $h_{\bar{\nu}}^n = f_{\bar{\lambda}}^n \times g_{\bar{\mu}}^n : Z \times \Delta^m \rightarrow X_{\lambda_0} \times Y_{\mu_0}$, where $\bar{\nu} = \bar{\lambda} \times \bar{\mu} = ((\lambda_0, \mu_0), \dots, (\lambda_m, \mu_m)) \in (\Lambda \times M)_m$ for $\bar{\lambda} = (\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_m) \in \Lambda_m$ and $\bar{\mu} = (\mu_0, \dots, \mu_m) \in M_m$. Now, we must verify that $\mathbf{h}^*$ is also a coarse coherent mapping. For this, since $\mathbf{f}^*$ and $\mathbf{g}^*$ are coarse coherent mappings, there exist $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that Equation (2) holds for $f_{\bar{\lambda}}^{n'}$ and $g_{\bar{\mu}}^{n''}$ for every $n' \geq n_1$ and $n'' \geq n_2$. By putting $n = \max\{n_1, n_2\}$ and using the proof of [6, Corollary 2], one can see that $h_{\bar{\nu}}^n$ satisfies in Equation (2) and so $\mathbf{h}^* = \mathbf{f}^* \times \mathbf{g}^* : (Z) \rightarrow \mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Y}$ is a coarse coherent map.

To verify that $\mathcal{C}^*(\pi_{\mathbf{X}}^*)[\mathbf{h}^*] = [\mathbf{f}^*]$, recall that a representative of $\pi_{\mathbf{X}}^*$ is the mapping $\mu_* \pi_{\mathbf{X}}^n$, which consists of the index function $\lambda \mapsto (\lambda, \mu_*)$ and of the first projections $\mu_* \pi_{X_{\lambda}}^n : X_{\lambda} \times Y_{\mu_*} \rightarrow X_{\lambda}$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Therefore the composition $\mathcal{C}^*(\pi_{\mathbf{X}}^*)[\mathbf{h}^*]$ has as representative the coarse coherent mapping $\mathbf{k}^* : (Z) \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ given by the mappings $k_{\bar{\lambda}}^n : Z \times \Delta^m \rightarrow X_{\lambda_0}$, where $k_{\bar{\lambda}}^n = \pi_{X_{\lambda_0}} h_{\bar{\lambda} \times \bar{\mu}_*}^n = \pi_{X_{\lambda_0}} (f_{\bar{\lambda}}^n \times g_{\bar{\mu}_*}^n) = f_{\bar{\lambda}}^n$ and $\bar{\mu}_* = (\mu_*, \dots, \mu_*)$. Consequently $\mathbf{k}^* = \mathbf{f}^*$ and hence $\mathcal{C}^*(\pi_{\mathbf{X}}^*)[\mathbf{h}^*] = [\mathbf{k}^*] = [\mathbf{f}^*]$. The equality $\mathcal{C}^*(\pi_{\mathbf{Y}}^*)[\mathbf{h}^*] = [\mathbf{g}^*]$ is verified analogously.

Now, we show that $[\mathbf{h}^*]$ is unique. Assume that $\mathbf{f}^* : (Z) \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$, $\mathbf{g}^* : (Z) \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ and $\mathbf{h}^* : (Z) \rightarrow \mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Y}$ are coarse coherent maps such that $\mathcal{C}^*(\pi_{\mathbf{X}}^*)[\mathbf{h}^*] = [\mathbf{f}^*]$ and $\mathcal{C}^*(\pi_{\mathbf{Y}}^*)[\mathbf{h}^*] = [\mathbf{g}^*]$. We must show that $[\mathbf{h}^*] = [\mathbf{f}^* \times \mathbf{g}^*]$. It suffices to exhibit two coarse coherent mappings $\mathbf{k}'^* : (Z) \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{k}''^* : (Z) \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ such that $[\mathbf{k}'^*] = [\mathbf{f}^*]$, $[\mathbf{k}''^*] = [\mathbf{g}^*]$ and the coarse coherent mapping $\mathbf{k}^* = \mathbf{k}'^* \times \mathbf{k}''^* : (Z) \rightarrow \mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Y}$ has the property that $[\mathbf{k}^*] = [\mathbf{h}^*]$.

We define $\mathbf{k}'^* : (Z) \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ by $k_{\bar{\lambda}}'^n : Z \times \Delta^m \rightarrow X_{\lambda_0}$, $\bar{\lambda} = (\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_m)$, which defined by $k_{\bar{\lambda}}'^n = \pi_{X_{\lambda_0}} h_{\bar{\lambda} \times \bar{\mu}_*}^n$, where $\bar{\mu}_* = (\mu_*, \dots, \mu_*)$ and $\mathbf{k}''^* : (Z) \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ consists of $k_{\bar{\lambda}}''^m :$

$Z \times \Delta^m \rightarrow Y_{\mu_0}$, $\mu = (\mu_0, \dots, \mu_m)$, defined by $k''_{\bar{\mu}} = \pi_{Y_{\mu_0}} h_{\bar{\lambda}_* \times \bar{\mu}}^n$, where $\bar{\lambda}_* = (\lambda_*, \dots, \lambda_*)$. By similar argument of [6, Corollary 2], one can show that the equalities $[\mathbf{k}''] = [\mathbf{f}^*]$, $[\mathbf{k}''^*] = [\mathbf{g}^*]$ and $[\mathbf{k}^*] = [\mathbf{h}^*]$ are hold. $\square$

Theorem 3.10. *If X and Y admit strong HPol^* -expansions $\mathbf{p}^* : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{q}^* : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ respectively such that $\mathbf{p}^* \times \mathbf{q}^* : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Y}$ is a strong HPol^* -expansion, then $X \times Y$ is a product in the strong coarse shape category.*

Proof. Let $\pi_X : X \times Y \rightarrow X$ and $\pi_Y : X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ denote the canonical projections. We want to show that $X \times Y$ with maps $\bar{\mathcal{S}}^*(\pi_X)$ and $\bar{\mathcal{S}}^*(\pi_Y)$ is a product in SSh^* . Let Z be a topological space and let $F^* : Z \rightarrow X$ and $G^* : Z \rightarrow Y$ be strong coarse shape morphisms. We must prove that there exists a unique strong coarse shape morphism $H^* : Z \rightarrow X \times Y$ such that $\bar{\mathcal{S}}^*(\pi_X)H^* = F^*$ and $\bar{\mathcal{S}}^*(\pi_Y)H^* = G^*$.

We will first prove uniqueness of H^* . Assume that $H^* : Z \rightarrow X \times Y$ has the desired properties. Consider the strong Hpol^* -expansion $\mathbf{p}^* \times \mathbf{q}^* : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Y}$ and let $[\mathbf{h}^*] : (Z) \rightarrow \mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Y}$ be the morphism of CCH associated with H^* . Similarly, consider the strong Hpol^* -expansions $\mathbf{p}^* : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{q}^* : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ and let $[\mathbf{f}^*] : (Z) \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ and $[\mathbf{g}^*] : (Z) \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be morphisms of CCH associated with F^* and G^* , respectively. By applying the functor $\mathcal{C}^*$ on Diagram (7), we have $\mathcal{C}^*(\pi_{\mathbf{X}}^*)\mathcal{C}^*(\mathbf{p}^* \times \mathbf{q}^*) = \mathcal{C}^*(\mathbf{p}^*)\pi_X$. It follows by Remark 2.7 that $\bar{\mathcal{S}}^*(\pi_X) = \langle \mathcal{C}^*(\pi_{\mathbf{X}}^*) \rangle$ and so the morphism $\mathcal{C}^*(\pi_{\mathbf{X}}^*)[\mathbf{h}^*]$ is associated with the shape morphism $\bar{\mathcal{S}}^*(\pi_X)H^* = F^*$. However, we know that $[\mathbf{f}^*]$ is associated with F . Consequently, $\mathcal{C}^*(\pi_{\mathbf{X}}^*)[\mathbf{h}^*] = [\mathbf{f}^*]$. An analogous argument shows that $\mathcal{C}^*(\pi_{\mathbf{Y}}^*)[\mathbf{h}^*] = [\mathbf{g}^*]$. We now apply Lemma 3.9 and conclude that $[\mathbf{h}^*]$ is unique.

To prove existence of H^* , choose $\mathbf{f}^*$ and $\mathbf{g}^*$ as above and put $\mathbf{h}^* = \mathbf{f}^* \times \mathbf{g}^*$. Then define $H^* : Z \rightarrow X \times Y$ as the strong coarse shape morphism associated with $[\mathbf{h}^*]$. Arguing as before, $\mathcal{C}^*(\pi_{\mathbf{X}}^*)[\mathbf{h}^*]$ is associated with $\bar{\mathcal{S}}^*(\pi_X)H^*$. By Lemma 3.9, $\mathcal{C}^*(\pi_{\mathbf{X}}^*)[\mathbf{h}^*] = [\mathbf{f}^*]$. Consequently $\bar{\mathcal{S}}^*(\pi_X)H^*$ is associated with $[\mathbf{f}^*]$. Also, since F^* is associated with $[\mathbf{f}^*]$, we conclude that $\bar{\mathcal{S}}^*(\pi_X)H^* = F^*$. Analogously, $\bar{\mathcal{S}}^*(\pi_Y)H^* = G^*$. $\square$

Now, we explain two conditions (SC1) and (SC2) for a morphism $\mathbf{p}^* : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ and polyhedron P which are equivalent to the definition of strong HPol^* -expansion for a topological space X (Definition 2.6).

(SC1)=(C1),

(SC2) For $\lambda \in \Lambda$, let $f_0^n, f_1^n : X_\lambda \rightarrow P$ be two sets of mappings and let there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $F^{k'} : X \times I \rightarrow P$ be a homotopy which connects $f_0^{k'} p_\lambda^{k'}$ to $f_1^{k'} p_\lambda^{k'}$ for every $k' \geq k$. Then there exist $\lambda' \geq \lambda$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $m' \geq m$ there exists a homotopy $H^{m'} : X_{\lambda'} \times I \rightarrow P$ which connects $f_0^{m'} p_{\lambda\lambda'}$ to $f_1^{m'} p_{\lambda\lambda'}$, and there

is a homotopy $M^{m'} : X \times I \times I \rightarrow P$ which connects $H^{m'}(P_{\lambda}^{m'} \times I)$ to $F^{m'}$ and is stationary on $X \times \partial I$.

Theorem 3.11. *Let $\mathbf{p}^* : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ satisfies in conditions (SC1) and (SC2) and let Y be a compact Hausdorff space. Then $\mathbf{p}^* \times 1 : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbf{X} \times Y$ is also satisfies in conditions (SC1) and (SC2).*

Proof. By converting map $f : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$ to $\tilde{f} : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^Y$ and note that if $\mathbf{P}$ is a polyhedron and Y is a compact Hausdorff space, then $\mathbf{P}^Y$ is a polyhedron [8, Theorem 1], the result holds (see [7, Theorem 7.5]). $\square$

Theorem 3.12. *Let X be a topological space. A morphism $\mathbf{p}^* : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ is a strong HPol^* -expansion of X if and only if for every polyhedron P , it has the properties (SC1) and (SC2).*

Proof. To proof the theorem, we need the m -dimensional version, $m \geq 1$, of property (SC2). For a mapping $\mathbf{p}^* : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ and polyhedron P , this is the following statement. (SC2) ^{m} If $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $f^n : X_\lambda \times \partial \Delta^m \rightarrow P$, $F^n : X \times \Delta^m \rightarrow P$ are mappings that there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $k' \geq k$,

$$F^{k'}|_{X \times \partial \Delta^m} = f^{k'}(p_\lambda^{k'} \times 1),$$

then there exist $\lambda' \geq \lambda$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $m' \geq m$ there exists a homotopy $H^{m'} : X_{\lambda'} \times \Delta^m \rightarrow P$ such that

$$H^{m'}|_{X_\lambda \times \partial \Delta^m} = f^{m'}(p_{\lambda\lambda'} \times 1),$$

$$H^{m'}(p_{\lambda'}^{m'} \times 1) \simeq F^{m'}(\text{rel}(X \times \partial \Delta^m)).$$

Note that property (SC2)¹ coincides with (SC2).

Now, let $\mathbf{p}^*$ satisfies in conditions (SC1) and (SC2). By the same argument of [7, Lemma 8.3] and using Theorem 3.11, one can show that $\mathbf{p}^*$ has property (SC2) ^{m} , for every $m \geq 1$. Then by the same argument of [7, Lemma 8.5] and [7, Lemma 8.6], $\mathbf{p}^* : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ has the following property:

For every inverse system $\mathbf{Y}$ in HPol and every morphism $[\mathbf{f}^*] : X \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ of CCH , there exists a unique morphism $[\mathbf{h}^*] : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ of CCH such that $[\mathbf{f}^*] = [\mathbf{h}^*]\mathcal{C}^*(\mathbf{p}^*)$. Therefore the map $\mathbf{p}^*$ is a strong HPol^* -expansion of X . The converse is similar to the proof of [7, Theorem 8.2]. $\square$

Now, we will to see that if $\mathbf{p}^*$ and $\mathbf{q}^*$ are strong HPol^* -expansions, then in which conditions $\mathbf{p}^* \times \mathbf{q}^* : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Y}$ is a strong HPol^* -expansion.

Corollary 3.13. *Let $\mathbf{p}^* : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ be a strong HPol^* -expansion, if Y is a compact Hausdorff, then $\mathbf{p}^* \times 1 : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbf{X} \times Y$ is a strong HPol^* -expansion.*

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Theorems 3.11 and 3.12. Also, by similar argument of the proof of Theorem 3.5 and by applying the universal property of strong HPol^* -expansion $\mathbf{p}^* : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ the result holds. $\square$

Theorem 3.14. *Let $1 \times \mathbf{q}^* : X \times Y \rightarrow X \times \mathbf{Y}$ and $\mathbf{p}^* \times 1 : X \times Y_\mu \rightarrow \mathbf{X} \times Y_\mu$ be strong HPol^* -expansions, for every $\mu \in M$. Then $\mathbf{p}^* \times \mathbf{q}^* : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Y}$ is a strong HPol^* -expansion.*

Proof. We must verify conditions (SC1) and (SC2) for $\mathbf{p}^* \times \mathbf{q}^*$. The verification of condition (SC1)=(C1) performed in the proof of Theorem 3.7. It thus remains to verify (SC2). To establish this property, let $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $\mu \in M$ and let $f_0^n, f_1^n : X_\lambda \times Y_\mu \rightarrow P$ be two sets of morphisms and let there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ that $F^{k'} : X \times Y \times I \rightarrow P$ be a homotopy which connects $f_0^{k'}(p_\lambda^{k'} \times q_\mu^{k'})$ to $f_1^{k'}(p_\lambda^{k'} \times q_\mu^{k'})$ for every $k' \geq k$. Consider the mapping $g_i^n = f_i^n(p_\lambda^n \times 1) : X \times Y_\mu \rightarrow P$, $i = 0, 1$. Since $g_i^n(1 \times q_\mu^n) = f_i^n(p_\lambda^n \times q_\mu^n)$ we see that the homotopy $F^{k'}$ also connects $g_0^{k'}(1 \times q_\mu^{k'})$ to $g_1^{k'}(1 \times q_\mu^{k'})$. By the property (SC2) for $1 \times \mathbf{q}^* : X \times Y \rightarrow X \times \mathbf{Y}$ there exist $\mu' \geq \mu$ and $m_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $m' \geq m_1$ there exists a homotopy $H^{m'} : X \times Y_{\mu'} \times I \rightarrow P$ which connects $g_0^{m'}(1 \times q_{\mu\mu'})$ to $g_1^{m'}(1 \times q_{\mu\mu'})$. Moreover, there exists a homotopy $M^{m'} : X \times Y \times I \times I \rightarrow P$ which connects $H^{m'}(1 \times q_{\mu\mu'} \times 1)$ to $F^{m'}$ which is stationary on $X \times Y \times \partial I$. Now, consider the mappings $k_i^n = f_i^n(1 \times q_{\mu\mu'}) : X_\lambda \times Y_{\mu'} \rightarrow P$, $i = 0, 1$. Note that $k_i^n(p_\lambda^n \times 1) = g_i^n(1 \times q_{\mu\mu'})$, $i = 0, 1$. Therefore, $H^{m'}$ also connects $k_0^{m'}(p_\lambda^{m'} \times 1)$ to $k_1^{m'}(p_\lambda^{m'} \times 1)$ for every $m' \geq m_1$. Consequently, property (SC2) for $\mathbf{p}^* \times 1 : X \times Y_{\mu'} \rightarrow \mathbf{X} \times Y_{\mu'}$ yields an index $\lambda' \geq \lambda$ and $m_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $m' \geq m_2$ there exists a homotopy $K^{m'} : X_{\lambda'} \times Y_{\mu'} \times I \rightarrow P$ which connects $k_0^{m'}(p_{\lambda\lambda'}^{m'} \times 1)$ to $k_1^{m'}(p_{\lambda\lambda'}^{m'} \times 1)$ and a homotopy $N^{m'} : X \times Y \times I \times I \rightarrow P$ which connects $K^{m'}(p_{\lambda\lambda'}^{m'} \times 1 \times 1)$ to $H^{m'}$ which is stationary on $X \times Y_{\mu'} \times \partial I$. Since $k_i^n(p_{\lambda\lambda'} \times 1) = f_i^n(p_{\lambda\lambda'} \times q_{\mu\mu'})$, we see that $K^{m'}$ connects $f_0^{m'}(p_{\lambda\lambda'} \times q_{\mu\mu'})$ to $f_1^{m'}(p_{\lambda\lambda'} \times q_{\mu\mu'})$. Clearly $N^{m'}(1 \times q_{\mu\mu'}^{m'} \times 1 \times 1) : X \times Y \times I \times I \rightarrow P$ connects $K^{m'}(p_{\lambda\lambda'}^{m'} \times 1 \times 1)(1 \times q_{\mu\mu'}^{m'} \times 1)$ to $H^{m'}(1 \times q_{\mu\mu'}^{m'} \times 1)$ and is stationary on $X \times Y \times \partial I$. Now, put $m = \max\{m_1, m_2\}$. Consequently, the juxtaposition of homotopies $N^{m'}(1 \times q_{\mu\mu'}^{m'} \times 1 \times 1) * M^{m'}$ is a homotopy on $X \times Y \times I$ which is stationary on $X \times Y \times \partial I$ and connects $K^{m'}(p_{\lambda\lambda'}^{m'} \times q_{\mu\mu'}^{m'} \times 1)$ to $F^{m'}$ for every $m' \geq m$. $\square$

The following result is a consequence of Theorems 3.10, 3.14 and Corollary 3.13.

Corollary 3.15. *If X and Y are compact Hausdorff spaces, then $X \times Y$ is a product in the strong coarse shape category SSH^* .*

Theorem 3.16. *Let $X \times Y$ be a product of topological spaces X and Y in Sh^* , then $\tilde{\pi}_k^*(X \times Y) \cong \tilde{\pi}_k^*(X) \times \tilde{\pi}_k^*(Y)$, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{S}^*(\pi_X) : X \times Y \rightarrow X$ and $\mathcal{S}^*(\pi_Y) : X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ be the induced coarse shape morphisms of canonical projections and assume that $\phi_X : \tilde{\pi}_k^*(X \times Y) \rightarrow \tilde{\pi}_k^*(X)$ and $\phi_Y : \tilde{\pi}_k^*(X \times Y) \rightarrow \tilde{\pi}_k^*(Y)$ be the induced homomorphisms by $\mathcal{S}^*(\pi_X)$ and $\mathcal{S}^*(\pi_Y)$, respectively. Then there is a homomorphism $\phi = (\phi_X, \phi_Y) : \tilde{\pi}_k^*(X \times Y) \rightarrow \tilde{\pi}_k^*(X) \times \tilde{\pi}_k^*(Y)$. We define homomorphism $\psi : \tilde{\pi}_k^*(X) \times \tilde{\pi}_k^*(Y) \rightarrow \tilde{\pi}_k^*(X \times Y)$ by $\psi([F^*], [G^*]) = [(F^*, G^*)]$ where $(F^*, G^*) : I \rightarrow X \times Y$ is a unique coarse shape morphism that $\mathcal{S}^*(\pi_X)(F^*, G^*) = F^*$ and $\mathcal{S}^*(\pi_Y)(F^*, G^*) = G^*$ since $X \times Y$ is a product in Sh^* . Indeed, if $F^* = \langle \mathbf{f}^* = (f, f_\lambda^n) \rangle$ and $G^* = \langle \mathbf{g}^* = (g, g_\mu^n) \rangle$, then $(F^*, G^*) = \langle (\mathbf{f}^*, \mathbf{g}^*) \rangle$, where $(\mathbf{f}^*, \mathbf{g}^*)$ is given by $(f, g)_{\lambda\mu}^n = f_\lambda^n \times g_\mu^n : I \rightarrow X_\lambda \times Y_\mu$ (see Theorem 3.4). Note that the homomorphism ψ is well define. Infact, if $H_1^* = \langle \mathbf{h}_1^* \rangle : F^* \simeq F'^*$ and $H_2^* = \langle \mathbf{h}_2^* \rangle : G^* \simeq G'^*$, then the coarse shape morphism $H^* : I \times I \rightarrow X \times Y$ which is associated by $\mathbf{h}^* = \mathbf{h}_1^* \times \mathbf{h}_2^*$ connects the coarse shape morphism (F^*, G^*) to (F'^*, G'^*) . It is routine to check that ϕ and ψ are inverse. $\square$

Remark 3.17. (i) *By a similar argument to the above theorem, if $X \times Y$ is a product of topological spaces X and Y in Sh , then $\tilde{\pi}_k(X \times Y) \cong \tilde{\pi}_k(X) \times \tilde{\pi}_k(Y)$, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

(ii) *If X and Y are compact Hausdorff spaces, then $X \times Y$ is a product in Sh^* and Sh by Corollary 3.8 and [6, Theorem 10], respectively. Therefore in this case $\tilde{\pi}_k$ and $\tilde{\pi}_k^*$ commute with product, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

References

- [1] F. W. BAUER, A shape theory with singular homology, *Pacific. J. Math.*, **64** (1976) 25-65.
- [2] N. KOCEIC BILAN, On some coarse shape invariants, *Topology Appl.*, **157** (2010) 2679-2685.
- [3] N. KOCEIC BILAN, N. UGLEIC, The coarse shape, *Glas. Mat.*, **42:62** (2007) 145-187.
- [4] R. GEOGHEGAN, Topological methods in group theory, GTM-243, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2008.
- [5] J.E. KEESLING, Products in the shape category and some applications, *Sympos. Math. Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica*, 1973, vol. 16, Academic Press, New York, 1974, pp. 133-142.

- [6] S. MARDEŠIĆ, Strong expansions of products and products in strong shape, *Topology Appl.*, **140** (2004) 81-110.
- [7] S. MARDEŠIĆ, Strong Shape and Homology, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 2000.
- [8] S. MARDEŠIĆ, J. SEGAL, Shape Theory, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1982.